

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,743	03/14/2005	Yasushi Maruyama	SON-2814	9126
23353 7590 04/14/2008 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING			EXAMINER	
			KEBEDE, BROOK	
1233 20TH ST WASHINGTO	REET N.W., SUITE 501 N. DC 20036		. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2823	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			04/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination			
	10/527,743		MARUYAMA, YASUSHI		
		Art Unit			
	MATTHEW SMITH	2823	<u>]</u>		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					
Notice of Panel De	cision from Pre-	Appeal Brief	Review		
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri	ef Request for Review filed 2	<u>/27/08</u> .			
 Improper Request – The Req reason(s): 	uest is improper and a confer	ence will not be held fo	or the following		
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: 					
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
2. Proceed to Board of Patent A held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice appeal brief is extendible under 37 C of the notice of appeal, as applicable	appeal because there is at le in accordance with 37 CFR 4 om mailing this decision, or the of appeal, whichever is greater 1.136 based upon the ma	ast one actual issue fo 1.37. The time period t e balance of the two-n ter. Further, the time p	r appeal. Applicant for filing an appeal nonth time period eriod for filing of the		
☐ The panel has determined to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 14-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations.		follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
All participants:					
(1) MATTHEW SMITH. MV	(3) <u>Brook</u>	Kebede.			
(2) Tom Thomas.	(4) BU				